GENERAL INFORMATION

ADMINISTRATIVE	
STATE NAME:	
NAME OF PILOT:	
PILOT SAMPLE PLAN SUBMISSION DATE:	PILOT FINDINGS DUE DATE:
Click here to enter a date.	June 2014 \square December 2014 \square June 2015 \square June 2016 \square
STATE INFORMATION	
STATE AGENCY RESPONSIBLE FOR CONDUCTING	REVIEW:
STATE CONTACT NAME:	
STATE CONTACT EMAIL ADDRESS:	STATE CONTACT PHONE NUMBER:
NAME OF STATE AGENCIES THAT MAKE ELIGIBIL	ITY DETERMINATIONS:
	SAMPLING
DESCRIBE THE SAMPLING FRAME:	
IDENTIFY THE MAGI-BASED CASES THAT THE STA	ATE WILL INCLUDE IN THE SAMPLING FRAME:
MEDICAID ACTIVE ☐ MEDICAID NEGATIVE ☐ CH	HIP ACTIVE $\;\square\;$ CHIP NEGATIVE $\;\square\;$
DESCRIBE HOW THE STATE WILL DEVELOP THE S	SAMPLING FRAME:
IS THE STATE SAMPLING FROM A SINGLE, COME NEGATIVE, CHIP ACTIVE, CHIP NEGATIVE)? YES	BINED SAMPLE FRAME OF CASES (MEDICAID ACTIVE, MEDICAID
	ROM WHICH THE STATE WILL SELECT ITS SAMPLE:
IF NO, FLEASE DESCRIBE THE SAMPLE PRAINE P	NOW WHICH THE STATE WILL SELECT ITS SAWIFLE.
WILL THE SAMPLING FRAME BE STRATIFIED? YE	S □ NO □
IF YES, EXPLAIN THE STRATIFICATION APPROACE	H AND IDENTIFY THE STRATA FOR EACH SAMPLE FRAME AS APPLICABLE:
DESCRIPT EVOLUCIONS	
DESCRIBE EXCLUSIONS:	
	/E TRANSFERS (I.E. ELIGIBILITY DETERMINED BY OTHER PROGRAMS SUCH
AS SNAP OR TANF) FROM THE SAMPLE FRAME?	
IF YES, EXPLAIN HOW THE STATE BE ABLE TO EX	LUDE THE CASE.

IS THE STATE ABLE TO EXCLUDE ANY CASES NOT MATCHED WITH THE TITLE XIX OR TITLE XXI FEDERAL FUNDS, INCLUDING
STATE-ONLY CASES, FROM THE SAMPLE FRAME? YES \Box NO \Box
IF YES, EXPLAIN HOW THE STATE WILL BE ABLE TO EXCLUDE THE CASES:
IS THE STATE ABLE TO EXCLUDE ANY DETERMINATIONS THAT ARE NOT MAGI-BASED FROM THE SAMPLE FRAME ? YES \Box
NO
IF YES, EXPLAIN HOW THE STATE WILL BE ABLE TO EXCLUDE THE CASES:
IS THE STATE ABLE TO EXCLUDE EXPRESSE LANE ELIGIBILITY CASES FROM THE SAMPLE FRAME? YES \(\sigma \) NO \(\sigma \)
IF YES, EXPLAIN HOW THE STATE WILL BE ABLE TO EXCLUDE THE CASES:
ii 125, EXILENII TIOV THE STATE WILL BE ABLE TO EXCLODE THE CASES.
IS THE STATE ABLE TO EXCLUDE CASES UNDER ACTIVE FRAUD INVESTIGATION FROM THE SAMPLE FRAME? YES □ NO □
IS THE STATE ABLE TO EXCLUDE CASES UNDER ACTIVE FRAUD INVESTIGATION FROM THE SAMPLE FRAME? YES \(\sqrt{NO} \sqrt{O} \) IF YES, EXPLAIN HOW THE STATE WILL BE ABLE TO EXCLUDE THE CASEs:
IF YES, EXPLAIN HOW THE STATE WILL BE ABLE TO EXCLUDE THE CASEs:
IF YES, EXPLAIN HOW THE STATE WILL BE ABLE TO EXCLUDE THE CASEs:
IF YES, EXPLAIN HOW THE STATE WILL BE ABLE TO EXCLUDE THE CASEs:
IF YES, EXPLAIN HOW THE STATE WILL BE ABLE TO EXCLUDE THE CASEs:
IF YES, EXPLAIN HOW THE STATE WILL BE ABLE TO EXCLUDE THE CASES: IF THE STATE ANSWERED NO TO ANY OF THE ABOVE EXLUSIONS, PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY THE CASES CANNOT BE EXCLUDED:
IF YES, EXPLAIN HOW THE STATE WILL BE ABLE TO EXCLUDE THE CASEs:
IF YES, EXPLAIN HOW THE STATE WILL BE ABLE TO EXCLUDE THE CASES: IF THE STATE ANSWERED NO TO ANY OF THE ABOVE EXLUSIONS, PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY THE CASES CANNOT BE EXCLUDED: IS THE STATE PLANNING TO EXCLUDE ANY OTHER TYPES OF CASES IN ADDITION TO THE REQUIRED EXCLUSIONS? YES

SAMPLE FRAME QUALITY CONTROL	
DESCRIBE THE QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDUI	RES THAT WILL BE APPLIED TO ENSURE THE COMPLETENESS/ACCURACY OF THE
POPULATION FROM WHICH THE SAMPLE IS E	DRAWN.
SAMPLE SIZE AND DISTRIBUTION	
TOTAL SAMPLE SIZE FOR PILOT STUDY:	
IDENTIFY THE SAMPLE SIZE FOR EACH PROG	
MEDICAID SAMPLE SIZE:	CHIP SAMPLE SIZE :
	ACTIVE AND NEGATIVE SAMPLE FRAME, IDENTIFY THE SAMPLE SIZE FOR
EACH SAMPLE FRAME: MEDICAID ACTIVE SAMPLE SIZE:	MEDICAID NEGATIVE SAMPLE SIZE:
_	
□ N/A	□ N/A
CHIP ACTIVE SAMPLE SIZE:	CHIP NEGATIVE SAMPLE SIZE:
□ N/A	□ N/A
	TATE PROPORTIONATE TO THE NUMBER OF DETERMINATIONS MADE FOR
EACH PROGRAM? YES NO NO I	THE DISDRODORTIONATE CAMPLE SIZES.
IF NO, PLEASE EXPLAIN THE RATIONALE FOR	THE DISPROPORTIONATE SAMPLE SIZES:
IF YES, PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE STATE DE	TERMINED THE SAMPLE SIZES ARE PROPORTIONATE:
IF THE STATE IS SAMPLING FROM SEPARATE DETERMINED THE SAMPLE SIZE FOR EACH	E ACTIVE AND NEGATIVE SAMPLE FRAME , DESCRIBE HOW THE STATE SAMPLE FRAME:

SAMPLE METHODOLOGY
IDENTIFY THE METHOD FOR DRAWING THE SAMPLE (E.G., SIMPLE RANDOM SAMPLE, SKIP FACTOR) AND PROVIDE A
DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE STATE WILL IMPLEMENT THE SELECTED METHODOLOGY:
SAMPLE TIMEFRAME
DESCRIBE THE STATE'S TIMEFRAMES FOR SAMPLING (I.E. MONTHLY, QUARTERLY):
TOTAL SAMPLE SIZE OCTOBER – DECEMBER 2013: TOTAL SAMPLE SIZE JANUARY – MARCH 2014:
DOES STATE HAVE CMS-APPROVED MITIGATION PLAN? YES $\ \square$ NO $\ \square$
IF YES, DESCRIBE THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE MITIGATION PLAN ON THE SAMPLE TIMEFRAME:
REVIEW
CASE REVIEW
GENERALLY, DESCRIBE THE STATE'S REVIEW PROCESS:
SPECIFY HOW ERRORS WILL BE IDENTIFIED AND CLASSIFIED.

DESCRIPT RODUSTNESS OF REMEMA COMPLETED AND WHAT CASEMADAYED A CTIONS WHAT RE DESCRIPTION
DESCRIBE ROBUSTNESS OF REVIEW COMPLETED AND WHAT CASEWORKER ACTIONS WILL BE REVIEWED:
EXPLAIN STEPS TAKEN BY REVIEWERS TO DETERMINE ERRORS:
CASE REVIEW QUALITY CONTROL
DESCRIBE THE QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES FOR ENSURING ACCURACY OF THE REVIEW DECISION:
PAYMENT REVIEW
DESCRIBE THE STATE'S PAYMENT REVIEW METHODOLOGY:
TEST CASE INFORMATION
INCLUDE ANY INFORMATION THE STATE WOULD LIKE CMS TO KNOW REGARDING THE TEST CASES, INCLUDING ANY
AVAILABLE ESTIMATES OF WHEN THE STATE EXPECTS TO RUN THE TEST CASES:

RESULTS

FOR EACH FIELD BELOW SPECIFY HOW THE PROPOSED PILOT WILL ENABLE THE STATE TO REPORT ON EACH MEASURE		
WAS THE DECISION ABOUT PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY CORRECT?		
WAS THE DECISION ABOUT ELIGIBILITY GROUP CORRECT?		
IF THE DECISION HAS BEEN FINALIZED AND DENIED, WAS THE CASE TRANSFERRED TO THE SBM/FFM APPROPRIATELY?		
IF THE DECISION HAS BEEN FINALIZED AND DENIED, HAVE APPROPRIATE FINAL NOTICES BEEN SENT?		
IF THE APPLICATION WAS TRANSFERRED FROM A SBM/FFM, WERE APPROPRIATE STEPS TAKEN TO ENSURE REUSE OF		
INFORMATION?		
WERE THE APPROPRIATE ATTESTATIONS OR VERIFICATIONS MADE FOR DATA COLLECTED IN THE APPLICATION AS		
IDENTIFIED IN THE STATE'S VERIFICATION PLAN BEFORE DISPOSITION?		
IF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WAS SOUGHT FROM THE APPLICANT OR BENEFICIAIRY, WAS SUCH INFORMATION		
PROPERLY REQUESTED BASED ON ATTESTATION AND VERIFICATIONS, OR EXISTING DATA, AND UTLIZED PROPERLY IN		
THE ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION?		

BASED ON THE INFORMATION SUPPLIED, ATTESTED AND VERIFIED, WAS THE HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION AND INCOME
LEVEL FOR THE APPLICANT PROPERLY ESTABLISHED?
BASED ON THE INFORMATION SUPPLIED, ATTESTED, AND VERIFIED, WAS THE CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION STATUS
FOR THE APPLICANT PROPERLY ESTABLISHED?
FOR THE AFFLICANT PROPERLY ESTABLISHED:
ANALYSIS BY POINT OF APPLICATION/TYPE OF APPLICATION/CHANNEL
ANALISIS BY FOINT OF AFFLICATION/TIFE OF AFFLICATION/CHANNEL
OTHER FACTORS
UTHER FACTORS
TEST CASE RESULTS
DESCRIBE HOW THE STATE WILL REPORT ON THE RESULTS OF THE TEST CASES:

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

PROVIDE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS, AS NEEDED, REGARDING THE STATE'S PILOT STUDY:		

PRA Disclosure Statement: According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0938-1148. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 40 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: CMS, 7500 Security Boulevard, Attn: PRA Reports Clearance Officer, Mail Stop C4-26-05, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.